



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO
09/193,647		1/17/1998	ROBERT E. USNER	D1077+11	2284
28995	7590	12/13/2001			
RALPH E. J	OCKE		EXAMINER		
231 SOUTH BROADWAY MEDINA, OH 44256				ELISCA, F	PIERRE E
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2161	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2001

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	2	Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	Advisory Action	19/193,647 ROBERT E. USNER LELI. Examiner Group Art Unit			et 21.						
	Advisory Action	Examiner		roup Art Unit							
		PIERRE E. E	115CA	2161							
THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]										
а	a) 🞵 expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.										
t	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.										
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.											
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).											
App but	licant's response to the final rejection, filed on $1//2$ is NOT deemed to place the application in condition fo	r allowance:	n considered v	with the follow	ing effect,						
√ T	he proposed amendment(s):										
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.											
will not be entered because:											
	$ ot\!$										
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).											
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.											
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.										
	NOTE: THE NEWLY LODEY LIMITATIONS IN CLAIM IL	REQUIRE FURTHER.	SKARCH.								
	/										
[Applicant's response has overcome the following re	ejection(s):									
	Newly proposed or amended claims	llowable claims.	would be	allowable if sub	omitted in a						
			dese NOT el	+b	lian in annelitian						
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has for allowance because:	s been considered but	does NOT pia	ace the applica	tion in condition						
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaus the Examiner in the final rejection.	e it is not directed SC	LELY to issue	es which were	newly raised by						
\(\overline{\ov	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as	follows (see attached	written expla	nation, if any):							
	Claims allowed:										
	Claims objected to:										
	Claims rejected: 1-19										
	The proposed drawing correction filed on	1	_	approved by t	ne Examiner.						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)), PTO-1449, Paper N	Ofel:	·							
	Other	IAMES DEDARM									
JAMES P. TRAMMELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER											
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100										